

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,329	01/18/2002	Charles C. Hart	AUS-1828-AL	1170
75	90 04/08/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard L. Myers			DAVIS, DANIEL J	
22872 Avenida Empresa Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	8
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A COLUMN TO THE						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/052,329	HART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. Jacob Davis	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing yeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOD by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>20 January 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,6-13 and 26-37 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,6-11,26-31 and 33-37 is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 32 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration. e allowed.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	·	-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fall b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce 2. Certified copies of the priority doce 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	euments have been received. euments have been received in a ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,329

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Paolitto et al. (US 2003/0093091). Paolitto discloses a surgical "clip" comprising a substrate made of a polymeric material (paragraph 103) that is inherently biocompatible. The clip has an open and closed configuration (Figs. 3A-3B). The device has an adhesive coating (paragraph 106) that is inherently biocompatible and has a higher coefficient of friction than the substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under one or more of subsections (e), (f), and (g) of section 102of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as being unpatentable over Paolitto in view of Sungberg (US 5,179,765). Paolitto is silent regarding a polypropylene substrate. Nevertheless, Sungberg discloses a clip made of polypropylene (Col. 2, lines 66-68) because it is easy and economical to manufacture. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the Paolitto clip out of polypropylene as taught by Sungberg because polypropylene is easily and economically manufactured.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13 and 14 are considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Paolitto discloses a polymeric substrate material but is silent regarding the particular polymer. Sungberg teaches what Paolitto has left out: a polypropylene material. Clips and clamps are all within the same field of knowledge, whether the intended use is for surgery or for paper. Therefore, the Paolitto/Sungberg combination is deemed proper.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4, 6-11, 26-31 and 33-37 are allowed. Claim 32 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,329

Art Unit: 3731

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Matsuno et al. (US 2002/0173805).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/052,329

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Jacob Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJD March 31, 2004

MICHAEL J. MILANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5